IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5560 OF 2004.
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.421 OF 2004.

Tukaram Ranu Pawar & Ors. ..Applicants.

Versus.

The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Mr.Naveen Chomal, advocate for the applicants.

Mr. D.R.More, APP for the State.

CORAM: S.B.MHASE &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATE : DECEMBER 14, 2004.

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr.Naveen Chomal, the learned counsel for the applicants and Mr.D.R.More, the learned APP for the State.

2. This matter is of the year 2004. Bail application was earlier rejected. That application was rejected on merit. Thereafter, successive applications were made. One of such applications bearing No.5329 of 2004 was rejected by this Bench on 6/12/2004. Thereafter, immediately, the present

application has been filed. This shows that the applicant is in habit of filing such successive applications repeatedly even though matter is considered on merit without there being any change in the circumstances. Such successive applications are being filed by the learned counsel for the applicants. We are sorry to observe such state of affairs on the part of the learned counsel for the applicants/accused. We hereby issue warning that such successive application should not be filed by the applicant unless there is any change in circumstances. Today also we note that there is no change in the circumstances and therefore, application is hereby rejected and disposed of accordingly.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(S.B.MHASE,J.)**

Login:ast/sbm.